

# Better Start Bradford Innovation Hub

## Little Minds Matter

### Year 3, Annual Review

### August 2021


*This is an interim report provided by the Better Start Bradford Innovation Hub (BSBIH) for the Better Start Bradford (BSB) and the Little Minds Matter team. The document provides a summary of the data collected by the project between 01-08-2020 - 31-07-2021.*

Authors: Sarah Masfield, Aiysha Khan, Kathryn Willan, Sara Ahern, and the Better Start Bradford Innovation Hub

Version 0.1 09.08.2021

Approved by:

Sara Ahern Programme Manager, Better Start Bradford Innovation Hub



Produced for Better Start Bradford

## Project Performance Summary



Data was provided in a timely fashion as usual and is well complete. There are some outstanding issues with the training data submitted (discussed at the last review) which means it can be difficult to determine what organisations practitioners work in from the role title only. We are in the process of getting a data sharing agreement shared with the Trust so we can start to receive System One data.

## Staff training

### Implementation

100%

Annual implementation targets related to the number of training courses delivered. It was anticipated that the project would deliver 8 courses per year. For the reporting period, the project delivered 8. This is 100% of the annual target. This places the project in **GREEN** for this progression criteria.

### Reach

90%

Annual Reach targets relate to the number of staff from the Early Years workforce. The project anticipated reaching 221 staff over 3 years (73 per year). They trained 120 staff, 108 (90%) recorded as being from the Early Years (priority) workforce. Role data was missing or unclear for 12 people (10%). This places the project in **GREEN** for this progression criteria.

### Satisfaction

98%

Annual satisfaction targets related to the proportion of participants accessing training giving a median satisfaction score of 4+, with a target of 80%. The project achieved 59, 98% median score >4, placing them in **GREEN** for this progression criteria. However, it should be noted that few satisfaction questionnaires were completed and no online questionnaires.

## Consultation

88%

One progression criteria relating to implementation was set for this strand. The annual implementation target related to the number of consultation sessions provided. It was anticipated that the project would provide 160 sessions of consultation, support, and guidance per year to practitioners. The project provided 141 consultations delivered, achieving 88% of the target. This places the project in **AMBER** for this progression criteria.

## Therapeutic intervention

### Implementation

133%

Annual implementation targets related to the number of families completing an assessment. It was anticipated that the project would complete an assessment for 30 families per year. An assessment date was recorded for 40 families, achieving 133% of the target. This places the project in **GREEN** for this progression criteria.

### Recruitment

60%

Annual recruitment targets related to the number of families attending at least one session of therapeutic intervention. It was anticipated that the 30 families per year would attend at least one session of therapeutic intervention. 18 families were recorded as attending at least one session. Therefore this places the project in **RED** for this progression criteria.

### Satisfaction

100%

Annual satisfaction targets related to the proportion of participants in therapeutic intervention giving a median satisfaction score of 4+, with a target of 80%. 7 questionnaires were entered, 100% median score >4. Therefore this places the project in **GREEN** for this progression criteria. However, it should be noted that few satisfaction questionnaires were completed and no online questionnaires.

## Covid-19

Due to Covid-19 and the associated lockdown measures delivery of training, therapeutic interventions and drop in clinics were paused on the 23rd March 2020 (shortly before the third year of the contract started) for a short period. Evaluation of project performance should therefore be viewed within the context of the constraints most services have experienced. We have not adjusted targets for this project due to Covid-19 as the project put in contingency measures in order to continue service delivery; however the ability of the service to operate as normal was undoubtedly affected.