

Better Start Bradford Innovation Hub

Little Minds Matter

Year 5, Annual Review

November 2022

This is an interim report provided by the Better Start Bradford Innovation Hub (BSBIH) for the Better Start Bradford (BSB) and the Little Minds Matter team. The document provides a summary of the data collected by the project between 01-08-2021 - 30-09-2022.

Authors: Sarah Masfield, Aiysha Khan, Josie Dickerson and the Better Start Bradford Innovation Hub

Version 1.0 04.11.2022

Approved by:

Josie Dickerson, Director - Better Start Bradford Innovation Hub and Bradford
Inequalities Research Unit



Produced for Better Start Bradford

Project Performance Summary



Data was provided in a timely fashion as usual. Following discussions about data requirements, we are now receiving the data required to determine what organisations practitioners work in (consultation and training strands) and this data has been backdated from the project start for completeness. However, we note that due to self-identification via open questions, the organisations practitioners work in is frequently unknown (24% and 19% of the data, respectively, for the reporting period). We now have a data sharing agreement with the Trust so we can receive SystemOne data but have yet to receive any (data is currently provided by LMM). Addressing this is a priority, particularly for the direct clinical work.

Staff training

Implementation

171%

Annual implementation targets related to the number of training courses delivered. It was anticipated that the project would deliver 8 courses per year. For the reporting period, the project delivered 16. This is 171% of the annual target. This places the project in **GREEN** for this progression criteria.

Reach

228%

Annual Reach targets relate to the number of staff from the Early Years workforce. The project anticipated reaching 73 staff over per year (85 for the reporting period). They trained 194 staff, 63 (33%) recorded as being from the Early Years (priority) workforce. Role data was missing or unclear for 37 people (19%). This places the project in **GREEN** for this progression criteria.

Satisfaction

100%

Annual satisfaction targets related to the proportion of participants accessing training giving a median satisfaction score of 4+, with a target of 80%. The project achieved 100% median score >4, placing them in **GREEN** for this progression criteria. However, it should be noted that few satisfaction questionnaires were completed - only 3 online questionnaires were available.

Consultation

70%

One progression criteria relating to implementation was set for this strand. The annual implementation target related to the number of consultation sessions provided. It was anticipated that the project would provide 160 sessions of consultation, support, and guidance per year to practitioners (187 for the reporting period). The project provided 131 consultations (3 cancelled by the service), achieving 70% of the target. This places the project in **RED** for this progression criteria.

Therapeutic intervention

Implementation

157%

Annual implementation targets related to the number of families completing an assessment. It was anticipated that the project would complete an assessment for 30 families per year (35 for the reporting period). An assessment date was recorded for 55 families, achieving 157% of the target. This places the project in **GREEN** for this progression criteria.

Recruitment

77%

Annual recruitment targets related to the number of families attending at least one session of therapeutic intervention. It was anticipated that the 30 families per year would attend at least one session of therapeutic intervention (35 for the reporting period). 27 families were recorded as attending at least one session. Therefore this places the project in **AMBER** for this progression criteria.

Satisfaction

100%

Annual satisfaction targets related to the proportion of participants in therapeutic intervention giving a median satisfaction score of 4+, with a target of 80%. The project achieved 100% median score >4. Therefore this places the project in **GREEN** for this progression criteria. However, it should be noted that few satisfaction questionnaires were completed - only 4 online questionnaires were available.

Covid-19

We have not adjusted targets for this project due to the continued impact of the Covid-19 circumstances in 2021 and 2022 as the project put in contingency measures in order to continue service delivery; however it is likely that the ability of the service to operate as normal was undoubtedly affected.